UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                      | FILING DATE  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------------|--|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/597,479                           | 07/27/2006   | Masaki Nakakado      | YMMRP0106US         | 1752             |
|                                      | 7590 12/04/200<br>(ALINO (GENERAL)                               | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| RENNER, OTTO, BOISSELLE & SKLAR, LLP |  |                      | CHOI, STEPHEN       |                  |
|                                      | 1621 EUCLID AVENUE, NINETEENTH FLOOR<br>CLEVELAND, OH 44115-2191 |                      |                     | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                                      |  |                      | 3724                |                  |
|                                      |  |                      |                     |                  |
|                                      |  |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                                      |  |                      | 12/04/2008          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)            |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Comments   | 10/597,479   | NAKAKADO ET AL.         |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit                |  |  |  |  |
|  | Stephen Choi   | 3724                    |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence address   |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on   |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| <i>,</i> —   | , <del></del>  |                         |  |  |  |  |
|  | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.      |                         |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pane Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 455 C.G. 215.   |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.   |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.   |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  | ,  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | election requirement   |                         |  |  |  |  |
| o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | election requirement.  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 July 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.  |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
|  | •  | · , ,                   |  |  |  |  |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  | ammer. Note the attached Office  | Action or form PTO-152. |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:   |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>   | have been received.  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents  | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No                     |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior   | 3.☑ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage |                         |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau  | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| ·  |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/27/06.  5) ☑ Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) ☑ Other:   |  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| т арет тио(э) пиан раке <u>1/21/00.</u>  |  |                         |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/597,479 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

1. Claims 1, 4, and 7 are objected to because of the following informalities: the use of the phrase "can be" should be avoided since it is confusing whether the recitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 09294769 (hereafter '769) in view of Satoh (US 2004/0089403).

'769 discloses the invention substantially as claimed including a plurality of pads (e.g., 9) and a cutter (e.g., 12) wherein the pad changes its attitude (e.g. paragraph 20). Although '769 teaches the use of anvil (e.g., paragraph 23), '769 fails to teach a plurality of anvils. Satoh teaches a plurality of anvils (e.g., 23). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a plurality of anvils as taught by Satoh on the device of '769 in order to contact the cutter to produce cuts. Regarding claim 3, a holding surface (e.g., Figures 1-2). It is noted that the claims do not preclude a device having a first relative level and a second

Art Unit: 3724

relative level at the same level where changing of attitude of the pads is not hindered at both levels.

4. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 09294769 (hereafter '769) in view of Munn et al. (US 3,758,102).

'769 discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for a plurality of anvils wherein a second relative level is more retracted inward than a first relative level. Munn teaches the use of plurality of anvils wherein the anvils are retractable (e.g., 50). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ retractable anvils as taught by Munn on the device of '769 in order to not to hinder the attitude changing operation of the pads with the anvils. The modified device of '769 teaches the anvils turn about a second axis which is generally parallel to a first axis and out of alignment with each other.

## Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Benner, Couturier, Milner, Nakakado '494, '109 are cited to show related devices.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Choi whose telephone number is 571-272-4504. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer D. Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/597,479 Page 4

Art Unit: 3724

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Stephen Choi/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3724 2 December 2008